### MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE RESOURCES AND SERVICES OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE,

## HELD ON WEDNESDAY, 11TH JANUARY, 2023 AT 11.00 AM IN THE COMMITTEE ROOM - TOWN HALL, STATION ROAD, CLACTON-ON-SEA, CO15 1SE

Present:	Councillors M Stephenson (Chairman), Scott (Vice-Chairman), Allen, Barry, Codling, Griffiths and Morrison
Also Present:	Councillor Neil Stock OBE (Leader of the Council; Leader of the Conservatives Group), Councillor Carlo Guglielmi (Deputy Leader of Council; Portfolio Holder for Corporate Finance & Governance; Deputy Leader of Conservatives Group), Councillor Paul Honeywood (Portfolio Holder for Housing), Councillor Alex Porter (Portfolio Holder for Leisure & Tourism), Councillor Michael Talbot (Portfolio Holder for Environment & Public Space) and Councillors Andrew Baker and Jayne Nash
In Attendance:	Ian Davidson (Chief Executive), Damian Williams (Corporate Director (Operations and Delivery)), Lisa Hastings (Deputy Chief Executive & Monitoring Officer), Lee Heley (Corporate Director (Place & Economy)), Richard Barrett (Assistant Director (Finance and IT) & Section 151 Officer), Keith Simmons (Head of Democratic Services and Elections) and Keith Durran (Committee Services Officer)

### 1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE AND SUBSTITUTIONS

Apologies were received by Councillors Amos and Skeels (with no substitutions).

### 2. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

There were no declarations of interest by Councillors in relation to any item on the agenda for this meeting.

### 3. QUESTIONS ON NOTICE PURSUANT TO COUNCIL PROCEDURE RULE 38

On this occasion no Councillor had submitted notice of a question.

### 4. BUDGET PROPOSALS FOR 2023/24

At the Committee's formal meeting on 11 January, it heard how the General Fund and the Housing Revenue Account (HRA) Budget proposals for 2023/24 had been considered by Cabinet on 16 December 2022.

The Committee had been provided, as part of the material for them to consider, the following:

- 1) Updated General Fund Financial Forecast Including Proposed Budget Changes in 2022/23 along with Budget Proposals for 2023/24 the report considered by Cabinet on 16 December 2022.
- 2) Updated Housing Revenue Account Business Plan and Budget Proposals 2023/24— the report considered by Cabinet on 16 December 2022.

The format for the meeting provided for the following elements.

11.00am - 11.30am	Private meeting of Members of the Committee to make		
	final arrangements for the rest of the meeting.		
11.30am - 12.00noon	Public meeting opens and a presentation by the Section 151 Officer on an introduction to the 2022/23 current budget position, the proposals for 2023/24 and the MTFS		
12.00noon - 12.30pm	Cllr Alex Porter, Portfolio Holder for Leisure and Tourism		
12.30pm - 1.00pm	Cllr Michael Talbot, Portfolio Holder for Environment and		
	Public Space		
1.00pm - 2.00pm	Adjourn for lunch		
2.00pm - 2.30pm	Cllr Paul Honeywood, Portfolio Holder for Housing		
2.30pm - 3.00pm	Cllr Carlo Guglielmi, Portfolio Holder for Corporate		
	Finance & Governance (Deputy Leader of Council)		
3.00pm - 3.30pm	Cllr Neil Stock OBE, Leader of the Council		

A series of questions were asked by the Committee and responses were provided in writing to the Committee. The Minutes of the meeting record those questions and responses in an Appendix. Further supplementary questions were asked of Cabinet Members and responses provided.

During the dialogue with individual Cabinet Members, the following commitments to written responses being provided were given to the Committee:

- Cllr Alex Porter, Portfolio Holder for Leisure, and Tourism regarding the
  implemented measures to reduce energy costs in leisure facilities and the
  envisaged pay back position in cash terms for those measures and the CO<sub>2</sub>
  savings generated, the Council's position on sea defences at the Naze in
  Walton-on-the-Naze and, separately, about past and future surveys of relevant
  beaches in relation to the need for future recharges of sand on those beaches.
- Cllr Paul Honeywood, Portfolio Holder for Housing in relation to empty Council housing stock, its liable for the same Council Tax premiums as a private dwelling and, how many empty Council homes were subject to the different premium levels levied for Council Tax.
- Cllr Carlo Guglielmi, Deputy Leader of the Council and Portfolio Holder for Finance and IT - question regarding the premium council tax rate on empty

homes, how many empty homes were there at the start of the year versus how many at the end of the year and if he considers the levying of the premium to be a success.

The Committee expressed their gratitude to the Council's Section 151 Officer for his support for the budget scrutiny process, and more generally in respect of the budgetary position of the Council. In addition, the Committee thanked the Members of Cabinet and the officers who assisted the process of the review over the days leading up to the meeting, and on the day of its meeting in considering the questions posed by the Committee and providing responses.

Having considered all of the information that had been provided, including the responses to the questions posed to Cabinet Members and Officers referred to in the Appendix, it was **RESOLVED** that the **CABINET** be **RECOMMENDED** that:

- a) Requests a comprehensive piece of work be undertaken to assess the true costs of services subject to discretionary Fees and Charges (including full on-costs) to ensure that cost recovery is being achieved and that inadvertent subsidy of services from Council Tax income does not exist where those full costs should be met through relevant Fees and Charges;
- b) Requests that progress be made (at pace) in the coming months to ensure that Zero Based Budgeting is applied consistently to all major net expenditure areas for the Council and that this process should involve robust challenges to ensure efficiency, effectiveness and economy of those service based budgets;
- c) Makes any necessary arrangements so that, particularly while the current economic conditions prevail, strict caution is observed around estimates of costs of proposed projects/schemes (provided in support of decisions around those projects/schemes) with a view to supporting realistic budgetary allocations being made that more closely align with the costs identified for the projects/schemes following procurement in respect of those projects;
- d) Records that the failure of the External Auditors (to conclude in a timely fashion the Audit of the Council's accounts for 2020/21) is an impediment to good governance, including through this Overview and Scrutiny process, and to support the sterling efforts by the Council's Audit Committee to address this failure with the External Auditors;
- e) Concurs that, for future years, the Community Leadership Overview and Scrutiny Committee, shall be requested to examine and enquire into the spend in relation to grants to statutory and voluntary sector partners and to submit its findings to the Resources & Services Overview and Scrutiny Committee in time for its budget scrutiny process;

- f) Takes measures (without delay) to ensure that the Housing Stock Survey of the Council's homes is commenced at the earliest opportunity (with a view to it being completed within 2023/24), that regular updates on the Survey be made to all Councillors and that steps be taken to dispose of vacant Council homes that have particular long term maintenance issues with a view to the disposal sum being re-invested in properties that are easier to maintain and, potentially, meeting housing need locally more closely;
- g) Supports the statements to the Resources & Services Overview and Scrutiny Committee, that the New Homes Bonus for 2023/24 be held without being allocated until after the 4 May 2023 elections;
- h) Proposes to Council that the shortfall between income and expenditure which would otherwise occur in 2023/24 be met by utilising the Forecast Risk Fund and that the use of the Corporate Investment Plan 'Reserve' and Building for the Future Use be applied to balance the budget in 2024/25 if required; and
- i) Arranges that an update on the approved and pipeline schemes within/through the Corporate Investment Plan, since its creation, being submitted to the Resources & Services Overview and Scrutiny Committee's meeting on 21 February 2023 to enable that Committee to undertake an enquiry into the process around that Plan.

The meeting was declared closed at 4.00 pm

**Chairman** 

# Resources and Services Overview and Services Committee – 11 January 2023 Questions to Portfolio Holders on the Budget

Tourism (from 12 noon to 12.30pm)   CIIr Barry   If not answered in the council bars with the severe financial the second largest budgets on external organisation. A decision on how to allocate respective budgets for the council has already taken. It has cells are yell to be supplementary apuestion of the supplementary of the subudget since the next three years. From the Outturn Report submitted to Cabinet on 15 July 2022, the actual expenditure in your Portfolio area was £7.062m. This is the second largest budgeted net expenditure of any Portfolio Holder. So how do you plan to support the Council meet the budget challenges we face next financial year and the two years after that?	Question	Question	Question to be asked	Answer from Portfolio
Holder   Committee   Committee   Question(s) based on response – initially from questioner – and others only I time permits)   I thank Cllr Barry for his question. Due to the severe financial challenges for the Council corporately over the next three years. From the Outturn Report submitted to Cabinet on 15 July 2022, the actual expenditure in your Portfolio area was £7.062m. This is the second largest budgeted net expenditure of any Portfolio Holder. So how do you plan to support the Council meet the budget challenges we face next financial year and the two years after that?	to which	<u>from</u>	(followed by	<u>Holder</u>
Committee  response — initially from questioner — and others only I time permits)  CIIr Porter, Portfolio Holder for Leisure and Tourism (from 12 noon to 15 July 2022, the actual expenditure in your Portfolio Holder. So how do you plan to support the Council meet the budget challenges we face next financial year and the two years after that?  Portfolio Holder. So how do you plan to support the Council meet the budget challenges we face next financial year and the two years after that?  Pour will be aware that the Council has already taken the difficult decision to how to allocate respective budgets for these facilities, owned by a taken.	<u>Portfolio</u>	Member of	supplementary	(If time is tight the
Cllr Porter, Portfolio Holder for Leisure and Tourism (from 12 noon to 12.30pm)  12.30pm)  Cllr Porter, Portfolio Holder for Leisure and the leisure and the two years and the two years and the two years after that?  Cllr Barry Portfolio Holder for Leisure and the leisure and the two years after that?  It hank Cllr Barry for his question. Due to the significant financial question. Due to the significant pressure faced by the Council, I have asked Officer's to prepare a full review of options to reduce subsidies and make savings. It is prudent at this stage that we consider every option available to us, based on their respective cost and subsequent impact on Council priorities. That the Council meet the budget challenges we face next financial year and the two years after that?  Into the record rather than spoken)  I thank Cllr Barry for his question. Due to the significant pressure faced by the Council, I have asked Officer's to prepare a full review of options to reduce subsidies and make savings. It is prudent at this stage that we consider every option available to us, based on their respective cost and subsequent impact on Council priorities. That the Council has already taken the difficult decision to be taken about the future direction. Significant procurement processes have taken place over recent months  You will be aware that the Council has already taken the difficult decision to no longer invest in joint use facilities, owned by an external organisation. A decision on how to allocate respective budgets for these facilities has yet to be taken.	<u>Holder</u>	<u>the</u>	question(s) based on	question and the
Cilr Porter, Portfolio Holder for Leisure and Tourism (from 12 noon to 15 July 2022, the actual expenditure in your Portfolio Holder. So how do you plan to support the Council meet the budget challenges we face next financial year and the two years after that?    Ver have heard about the severe financial challenges for the Council corporately over the next three years. From the Outturn Report submitted to Cabinet on 15 July 2022, the actual expenditure in your Portfolio area was £7.062m. This is the second largest budgeted net expenditure of any Portfolio Holder. So how do you plan to support the Council meet the budget challenges we face next financial year and the two years after that?    Ver have heard about the full Ranry for his question. Due to the significant financial question. Due to the significant pressure faced by the Council, I have asked Officer's to prepare a full review of options to reduce subsidies and make savings. It is prudent at this stage that we consider every option available to us, based on their respective cost and subsequent impact on Council priorities. That will allow a balanced decision to be taken about the future direction. Significant procurement processes have taken place over recent months    You will be aware that the Council has already taken the difficult decision on how to allocate respective budgets for these facilities has yet to be taken.		Committee	response – initially from	response may be read
Cllr Porter, Portfolio Holder for Leisure and Tourism (from 12 noon to 12.30pm)  **Portfolio Holder for Council corporately over the next three years. From the Outturn Report submitted to Cabinet on 15 July 2022, the actual expenditure in your Portfolio area was £7.062m. This is the second largest budgeted net expenditure of any Portfolio Holder. So how do you plan to support the Council meet the budget challenges we face next financial year and the two years after that?  **You will be aware that the Council has already taken the difficult decision to how to allocate respective budgets for these facilities, has yet to be taken.**			questioner – and others	into the record rather
Portfolio Holder for Leisure and Tourism (from 12 noon to 15 July 2022, the actual expenditure in your Portfolio Area was £7.062m. This is the second largest budgeted net expenditure of any Portfolio Holder. So how do you plan to support the Council meet the budget challenges we face next financial year and the two years after that?  Tourism (from 12 submitted to Cabinet on 15 July 2022, the actual expenditure in your Portfolio Area was £7.062m. This is the second largest budgeted net expenditure of any Portfolio Holder. So how do you plan to support the Council meet the budget challenges we face next financial year and the two years after that?  You will be aware that the Council has already taken the difficult decision to no longer invest in joint use facilities, owned by an external organisation. A decision on how to allocate respective budgets for these facilities has yet to be taken.			only I time permits)	than spoken)
	Portfolio Holder for Leisure and Tourism (from 12 noon to	Cllr Barry	we have heard about the severe financial challenges for the Council corporately over the next three years. From the Outturn Report submitted to Cabinet on 15 July 2022, the actual expenditure in your Portfolio area was £7.062m. This is the second largest budgeted net expenditure of any Portfolio Holder. So how do you plan to support the Council meet the budget challenges we face next financial year and the two years after	I thank Cllr Barry for his question. Due to the significant financial pressure faced by the Council, I have asked Officer's to prepare a full review of options to reduce subsidies and make savings. It is prudent at this stage that we consider every option available to us, based on their respective cost and subsequent impact on Council priorities. That will allow a balanced decision to be taken about the future direction. Significant procurement processes have taken place over recent months  You will be aware that the Council has already taken the difficult decision to no longer invest in joint use facilities, owned by an external organisation. A decision on how to allocate respective budgets for these facilities has yet to be
TARREST TO A STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY AND A PARTY OF THE PAR		Cllr Barry	If not answered in the	I thank Cllr Barry for his

response to the above:

What specifically has been implemented/spent this year to reduce energy usage in leisure and tourism facilities? What plans are there to help reduce energy use in those facilities next year?

question.

The following has been implemented in our Sport and Leisure Facilities to reduce our energy consumption and reduce costs:

- Sensor Lighting in Sports Facilities and Princes Theatre
- LED Lighting in Sports Facilities and Princes Theatre
- New Air Handling
   Unit in the Clacton
   Leisure Centre
   Wellbeing Zone
- Timers have been installed to the Theatre heating and air movement systems to restrict to essential use
- Variable Speed
   Drive Pumps in
   Swimming Pool
   Circulation Systems

Subject to funding and respective decisions, the follow projects are being considered for next year:

- Swimming Pool Covers at the Council's Sports Facilities
- Quotations are being sought for a company to design a heat pump system for Clacton

		Leisure Centre and Building Management Systems for Walton on the Naze Lifestyles.  • We are looking at a proposal to remove the oil fired boiler at Clacton Leisure Centre • A consultant is developing the business case for solar power on TDC estate, including our leisure facilities
		Further to the above, the service is resolved to consider energy efficiency in all its technical procurement processes and moving forward, will not be purchasing any lighting fixtures that have an LED alternative.
Clir Barry	Can you outline the events the Council put on/financially supported in 2022/23, with the expenditure on each and whether you regard this as providing a good return on that investment? Will those events be repeated in 2023/24?	I thank Cllr Barry for his question.  Clacton Airshow Cost: £138,000  An Economic Impact Evaluation Report prepared by an external organisation using nationally

recognised modelling data estimated that the Airshow 2022 contributed a net value of approximately £12,155,000 net income to the area 166 with jobs sustained. During recent Town Centre survey, 40% of businesses suggested they did more trade during events like the Airshow

### Illuminate Festival (Harwich)

Cost: £65,000

At this stage an economic impact assessment has been not commissioned for Illuminate, there is no formal value for money assessment. Feedback from local businesses suggests that the several thousand visitors bought significant local business to the The area. of presence regional news crews and wider regional media, will have raised

		the profile of Tendring.
		Tour Series Cost: £90,000
		At this stage an economic impact assessment has not been provided for the Tour Series. In addition to the regional media (as per Illuminate), this event received national and international television coverage, via a highlights programme on ITV4 and Eurosport.
		We were pleased with the outcome of all events, particularly after an extremely challenging two years, where mass participation events were not possible. That being said, it is only right that we now review everything the Council does, which will include the events programme
		A decision on which events take place in 2023 will take place imminently and following that, a longer term review of the Council's events programme will be carried out, together with wider scrutiny of the Council's budgets before the end of 2023.
Cllr Stephenson	What direction have you given officers in reviewing fees and	I thank Cllr Stephenson for his question. I have directed Officers to

	charges for services/functions you are responsible for?	undertake a root and branch review of all fees and charges under my portfolio. An evaluation of that work is currently taking place, so balanced decisions can be taken prior to the decision being published.
		In some cases the direction to Officers has been to consider how the service subsidy can be reduced through prudent setting of charges, e.g. Sports Facilities, Clacton Airshow. In others, I have asked Officers to make recommendations as to how fees and charges can contribute towards essential expenditure in that particular service area, e.g. Beach Huts.
		We must be mindful of the impact of an increase in fees and charges on our residents, which the review currently taking place will do. Of course, we are charging not trading: we are setting fees to best cover our costs, or manage the level of service subsidy, we are not seeking to make a surplus.
Cllr M Stephenson	What is known of the probability of cliff slippages at the sea front in Clacton-on-Sea and/or Holland-on-Sea over the next three years and what funding is	

	provided for in the Council's budget to address the costs of remedial action at those slippage sites in each of those three years?	
Cllr M Stephenson	What is known of the levels of beach erosion and the need for recharge in Clacton-on-Sea and/or Holland-on-Sea over the next three years and what funding is provided for in the Council's budget to address the costs of remedial action at those beach erosion sites in each of those three years?	

Question to which	Question from	Question to be asked (followed by	Answer from Portfolio Holder
Portfolio	Member of	supplementary	(If time is tight the
Holder	the	question(s) based on	question and the
110.001	Committee	response – initially from	response may be read
		questioner – and others	into the record rather
		only I time permits)	than spoken)
Cllr Michael	Cllr Barry	We have heard about the	The largest
Talbot,	,	severe financial	expenditure area
Portfolio		challenges for the	within the Portfolio is
Holder for		Council corporately over	the collection of
Environment		the next three years.	domestic refuse. As a
and Public		From the Outturn Report	statutory responsibility
Space		submitted to Cabinet on	the Council cannot
(from		15 July 2022, the actual	escape the costs
12.30pm to		expenditure in your	involved or the
1.00pm)		Portfolio area was	increasing costs
		£9.701m. This is the	brought about by
		largest budgeted net	changing government
		expenditure of any	requirements. The
		Portfolio Holder. So how	officer team is already
		do you plan to support	working on the re-
		the Council meet the	procurement of this
		budget challenges we	service for future
		face next financial year	years as the
		and the two years after	Committee knows.
		that?	Part of that exercise
			will include member
			and market
			engagement, aimed at
			optimising the value compromises within
			<u>.</u>
			that. Additionally the government has
			indicated the provision
			of additional burdens
			funding in relation to
			changes in waste and
			recycling
			requirements. We
			remain vigilant in
			order to ensure that
			appropriate provision
			is made.
			Outside of the

statutory service there

are likely to be some very tough choices ahead. The officer teams have already been active in: Seeking, maximising and using grant and other funding such as s106 monies. Levelling up Parks, tree planting and other funding sources. The volunteering programme in open spaces is gathering with the strength material benefit of promoting wellbeing in the communities. The officer teams are engaged in a range of small initiatives, subject to decisions approvals and reduce costs such as: Partial switching from bedding to perennial planting, rewilding of some areas, developing charging and control policies for events, offering direct cremations, introducing charges for recycling container replacements and developing options for

There are also more wide ranging options for developing new income streams to the Council under

recycling collections.

waste

and

revised

		oon old oretiers the et es -
Cllr Scott	Om 11 October, I read a press release from the Council indicating that a small fee was to be introduced for replacement recycling	consideration that may require closer examination of risk, but with greater potential rewards. This includes:  • The development of a second chapel along with wake room facilities at Weeley Crematorium,  • partnership with EV charge point operators for the use of Council land for electric vehicle charging points.  • Charging for use of some public conveniences,  • partnerships for green waste disposal / composting.  • Beach hut construction and sale / lease.  • Potential provision of services to partner organisations.  Care is needed in these areas in order to work within restrictions and to manage risk.  The charges for containers will start in April 2023. My decision to implement that was made earlier and published in the
	introduced for	that was made earlier and published in the

	convice itself. Can you	was introduced and
Clir Scott	Service itself. Can you help the Committee with the approval process for those fees and whether they are to be introduced from 1 April 2023?  Other than the fees and charges mentioned just now, what direction have you given officers in reviewing fees and charges for services/functions you are responsible for?	was introduced and the proposed increase reflects increased costs of delivering the service over time.  The provision of containers for recycling has been ongoing since introduction and a large number of free containers has been issued. It is appropriate now to introduce fair charging for new containers seeking to recognise budgetary issues while acknowledging that a saturation of containers necessary for recycling purposes may have been reached and that households can use any container (in which the contents can be identified) to present recycling.  My request to the officer teams was to prepare proposals that fairly compromise between objectives to reflect rising service and overall costs, avoid compromising the affordability of services to users while having regard to the overall financial
		position of the
		organisation.

Question to which Portfolio Holder	Question from Member of the Committee	Question to be asked (followed by supplementary question(s) based on response – initially from questioner – and others only I time permits)	Answer from Portfolio Holder (If time is tight the question and the response may be read into the record rather than spoken)
Cllr Paul Honeywood, Portfolio Holder for Housing (from 2.00pm to 2.30pm)  (online to be arranged)  Housing Revenue Account	Cllr Stephenson	Page 47 of the papers for today: Line 5 references Utility costs. For 2023/24 this is showing as costing ££505K. Can you set out detail about the utilities and the facilities that they relate to and the extent to which these are offset in service charges?	These charges relate in the main to communal power supplies and heating in our blocks of flats and sheltered housing schemes.  Service charges are levied to tenants and leaseholders having regard to statutory caps on the total rents that can be charged. We anticipate that £164k will be recovered in 2023/24.  Whilst the costs recovered are much lower than the costs incurred, we must be mindful of the current cost of living crisis and have limited the increase in service charges to 7% in line with the statutory cap on social housing rent. There is also a large degree of volatility in the energy markets and we hope that the anticipated expenditure is the worst case scenario.
	Cllr Scott	Pages 39 and 47 of the papers for today:	The Council has responsibilities both

	Line 3 — Revenue Contribution to the major repairs allowance — This includes the replacement of kitchens in Council Homes. Are you confident that this expenditure is being spent efficiently? I have reports of certain properties with no new kitchen and others where there are multiple replacements in the same period.	to review kitchens at least as frequently as 20 years in line with the Decent Home Standard. They also have to be replaced in shorter timescales if they are in disrepair for any reason. Of course it is regrettable if components do not reach their full lifetimes for whatever reason but the Council cannot avoid the need for replacement if it
Cllr Scott	Can you update the Committee for 2022/23 to date as to how many Right to Buy properties have come out of the Council's Housing Stock and how many homes have been acquired through the different routes of being gifted, built or bought? What is the net gain/loss so far this year?	exists.  During the financial year to date the Council has sold eight homes through the Right to Buy scheme and one further property was sold as it was no longer considered suitable to retain in our housing stock.  In total 16 homes have been acquired
Cllr Stephenson	What direction have you given officers in reviewing fees and charges for services/functions you are responsible for?	My request to the

### Cllr Stephenson

This time last year you advised this Committee that "A budget has also been included for a Stock Condition Survey, with a budget of £100k, the results which of will assist in setting future budgets." Can you update the Committee with the use of that £100K and the stock condition survey? I ask this given the tragic circumstances Rotherham where a 2 year old boy lost his life the contribution with mould in his Council The importance home. of knowing the full extent of the condition of Council Homes (as a landlord) is vital.

Work is under way to procure the condition survey. The organisation needs to refresh information on property condition. integrate existing data various held in formats. capture incoming ongoing well data as as combining all into a functional database. It is impossible complete a physical survey of all of the stock can be achieved within the budget. A system of surveying archetypical or beacon properties is an industry standard way to address this. It is planned to combine such information with other data held and information to be gathered during the course of the various scheduled and visits routine and inspections carried out by the team and contractors.

Naturally the teams are saddened to hear of the tragic events in Rotherham. The Corporate Director is leading a task group with the objective of reducing the risk of damp and mould in our stock not being properly addressed.

### Cllr Stephenson

Pages 37 and 47 of the papers for today:

Where Council Homes are vacant, the Council picks up the cost of Council Tax liability. It is therefore in the interests of the Housing Revenue Account (and therefore our tenants) that we reduce the amount and length of time Council Houses are vacant. I see Tax on that Council properties empty has been reassessed back to £130K for this financial vear rather than the anticipated £76K. What assurances can be given that the £78K figure for next year will be achieved given the experience this year?

Indeed. There are also utility costs, lost rent and risk of damage or intruders. The Chief executive has chaired an officer group during the course of the year which has supported officers other substantially reduce void times.

The officer teams have also brought forward work on a small number of long term void properties which has brought them back into use.

Void properties and the costs of them are a fact of life and I can't make promises to eliminate them but I am certain that the team has taken positive steps address an issue and that further initiatives and ongoing effort will minimise the cost and lost opportunities.

Question to	Question	Ouestion to be asked	Answer from Portfolio
which		Question to be asked (followed by	Holder
	from Mombor of	, ,	
<u>Portfolio</u>	Member of	supplementary	(If time is tight the
<u>Holder</u>	the	question(s) based on	question and the
	<u>Committee</u>	response – initially from	response may be read
		questioner – and others	into the record rather
		only I time permits)	than spoken)
Cllr Carlo	Cllr	From page 27 of the	As I have mentioned
Guglielmi,	Stephenson	papers for today:	before, the long term
Portfolio		As Portfolio Holder for	plan has given us a
Holder for		Corporate Finance, your	really strong platform
Corporate		report to Cabinet on 16	against which we can
Finance &		December identified	consider our longer
Governance		(before the use of	term financial position.
(Deputy		balances, reserves etc.)	We have in effect
Leader of		a deficit in the General	given the new
Council)		Fund of £3.433m for	Administration from
(from		2023/24, £3.722m for	May this year the time
2.30pm to		2024/25 and a need for	to carefully consider
3.00pm)		savings of £4.150m in	what actions are
0.000111)		2025/26 to avoid a deficit	required to deliver the
		in that year. I accept that	long-term financial
		Government funding	stability of the Council.
		through the Revenue	Given the speed in
		Support Grant of £1.2m	·
		• •	
		known when these	materialised, as
		figures were prepared.	nobody could have
		But should residents be	forecasted the toxic
		worried about the	combinations of
		services they rely upon	events which have led
		over the coming years?	to it, it is without doubt
			that the New
			Administration will
			face some tough
			decisions in terms of
			the current forecast
			and any financial
			framework that is
			established to manage
			the associated
			process. It will, of
			course, need to
			include the
			consultation with our
			residents as
			residents as

		necessary, to make sure we can continue to reflect their expectations as far as is reasonably possible.
Cllr Stephens	I believe that the last point at which the Corporate Investment Plan list of approved and pipeline schemes was last published for Cabinet's meeting in March 2022. Are you in apposition to provide us with an update on those schemes and any subsequently approved or pipeline schemes added to the Plan since?	to Cabinet in July, it was highlighted that further reporting of the Corporate Investment Plan would be delayed until such time as the
		course as part of 'relaunching' the Corporate Investment Plan process going into 2023/24.
Cllr Stephens	I see that in 2023/24 there is a proposal to apply £1.433m from the	In terms of the 4 remaining years of the current forecast period, I have affectively looked at 2023/24 and 2024/25

Plan Reserve and £2.339m from the Building for the Future Reserve to 'balance' what would otherwise be the General Fund deficit in that year. However, the Forecast Risk Fund exists to provide that type of support for the General Fund and that is not proposed to be used in 2023/24. I understand that it is then proposed to be used in 2024/25. But my question is why the Forecast Risk Fund was not used first. Local Government Finance changes year to year just look at the change from last year to this one - so would it not have been better to propose to use the Forecast Risk Fund first before exhausting the Corporate Investment Plan Building for the Future Reserves?

together, with the proposed use of funding simply reflecting a practical approach. However given the funding that recently has been announced as part of the Government's Local Government's Financial Settlement, this will be reviewed in terms of updating financial position for reporting to Cabinet later in January.

### Cllr Stephenson

From page 25 of the papers today:

I note that the former Council Offices Weeley are still costing this Council £60K in this financial year and projected £50K in next financial year. Could you remind the Committee of the decision to dispose of the Offices, when the Council ceased to use the offices for operational purposes and when the offices will be disposed of? The question is then

While fully understand, and share the same frustration, as we too very much hoped that the disposal of the former Council Offices site in Weeley would have taken place by now, the decision was a rather complex one than simply an open market transaction. The Council has duty of care to maximise the value of the site via

	whether these costs were in any way avoidable?	development to deliver new homes, including new and high quality Council housing for local people. Such decisions include the appropriation of land for planning purposes, planning permission, procurement, the requirements of the Council's Housing Acquisitions and Development Policy along with detailed negotiations with relevant parties.
		have remained on- going throughout 2022 and will continue in 2023 with the aim of concluding the disposal this year.
		In terms of complexities, it is also worth highlighting that the sequence of events have to be carefully considered to protect the Council as far as possible such as securing / receiving consideration for the land ahead of the land transfer, which in this case will be homes rather than monetary.
Cllr Griffiths	From page 41 of the papers today: A budget of £0.6m was included in the HRA Capital programme for the redevelopment of the Spendells site.	As with any capital investment decision, an estimate of the likely project costs would be determined as part of the various steps in the decision

However, the current estimate is that it will cost £1.4m. ls the Council's pricing strategy purpose? for Otherwise the Council will be approving schemes on one basis that then turns out to be a fraction of the actual cost.

making process. The actual cost of a project can only really be determined once the associated procurement process has been undertaken. It is also important to highlight that if scheme is approved based on an estimated cost that is subsequently higher, then a further decision would be required as to whether to continue or not with the project, which would involve the usual value for money / business case considerations.

Based on the above, it is not necessarily a question of a 'pricing strategy' not being fit for purpose, but rather around the strength of the wider governance processes that protect the Council's overall position.

Notwithstanding the above, the accuracy of initial estimates is an point important to raise, which I will highlight as part of future investment decisions going forward; we must however all be very mindful that the turbulent current situation from an inflation point of view

Cllr Stephenson	The Council is nearly half way through its 10 year financial strategy. I cannot see that the report references that strategy. Accepting that any forecast can only reflect what is known, but will Cabinet receive an updated or extended 10 year financial strategy which includes a review of what has occurred in the earlier years of that strategy?	long-term strategy is effectively undertaken each year as part of the wider budget setting cycle. As set out in earlier reports, it is proposed to review the long-term approach during 2023, which will be a key considered for the New Administration
Cllr Stephenson	What direction have you given officers in reviewing fees and charges for services/functions you are responsible for?	This high level direction was set out in the report considered by Cabinet on 16 December 2022 – hopefully you are therefore happy for me to reference page 145 of that agenda rather than reproduce the detailed information here.  In terms of the services / functions I am responsible for we have applied a 12% increase which will produce an extra £10k of income, with the exception of Solicitor's hourly rate which has been capped at 6% as this is an area where

		make a profit but to recover costs only, therefore a lower increase around the rate of the pay award, which Unison averaged at 7% is justifiable. The 12% increase also applies to any external hire of the Connaught Room, the Whitelaw Room, and the Committee Room.
Cllr Stephenson	The Council has entered into the agreement with Essex County Council to provide procurement services for it. What other areas for shared services with other Councils are being considered?	As discussed previously, shared services have been recognised as a potential element of the Council's wider response to the financial challenges that it faces rather than an issue that has been explored in any detail at the present time.
Cllr Stephenson	For 2022/23, the Council introduced a premium for Council Tax on empty homes with a view to encouraging occupation of those homes. Can you tell me how many empty homes in the District there were at the start of the year (subject to the premium) and how many there are currently? And do you regard the introduction of the premium to be working?	The most recent position was set out in the reported to Full Council in November 2022, so hopefully you are happy for me to reference page 142 of that agenda rather than reproduce that information here.  In terms of second homes, the Council does not have the power to levy such premiums at the present time. As set

As an addition to the above. would it be possible to introduce a premium similar for second homes in the District where individuals live elsewhere and only visit the District occasionally?

page 25 of

the

out in the report Full Council highlighted above, the Government are however considering this and so it will be kept under review.

#### **Cllr Griffiths**

On

papers for today: I note the proposal to reduce the budget to support the Tendring-Colchester Borders Garden Community from £1.3m to £0.8m. Cabinet confident that this reduced level is sufficient to meet the need for support for the project? I ask this given the fact that the delivery timetable for the Garden Community will span, maybe, several general elections and Government policy will And no doubt change. how does the Cabinet consider that the costs of public open space and public buildings/facilities in the Garden Community will be met.

As part of reducing the budget from £1.3m to £0.800m, the issues raised were discussed with the relevant Service. The Council's involvement the in project largely reflects its planning authority / local plan responsibilities with an additional and ongoing local plan budget available to potentially support the project moving forwards.

It is also important to highlight that as part of the on-going development of the project, 'Stewardship' options to provide the necessary funding to support the on-going costs of assets such as open space and public facilities will be explored, with the aim of ensuring that such costs do not fall to the Council.

The Members Steering Group has been very clear with

		Officers to task them to bring forward Stewardship options in the very near future so that a strong and sustainable model is put in place at the earliest opportunity.
Cllr Stephenson	On page 14 of the papers for today: I note the statement about the New Homes Bonus. As I understand it, there is anticipated to be £1.4m to be received in 2022/23. What are your intentions around allocating the use of that funding?	I have referred to the New Administration several times above and I think it is important that they have financial flexibility to respond to the challenges that lie ahead. I therefore believe that it is important that the current Administration provides a strong financial foundation for the New Administration, and I propose setting this funding aside so that it forms part of the associated handover process in May.

Question to which Portfolio Holder	Question from Member of the Committee	, ,	(If time is tight the question and the response may be
Cllr Neil Stock OBE, Leader of the Council (from 3.00pm to 3.30pm)	Cllr Stephenson	We have heard about the severe financial challenges for the Council corporately over the next three years. What is your assessment of those challenges and the plans to meet those challenges?	
		Do you still believe in the value of Freeport East and the financial gain this is supposed to bring?  e may have further question oning/responses from indivi	

In writing, with responses written into the record of the meeting, the question below to be sent to:

Cllr Jeff Bray, Portfolio Holder for Planning

Cllr Lynda McWilliams, Portfolio Holder for Partnerships

Cllr Mary Newton, Portfolio Holder for Business & Economic Growth "What direction have you given officers in reviewing fees and charges for services/functions you are responsible for?"

### The response to this question are as follows:

Cllr Lynda McWilliams, Portfolio Holder for Partnerships

"I regularly meet with the Assistant Director, Partnerships and other senior managers across the Department to review all high-level performance priorities and targets, including budgets. Each of the managers provide me with a regular update about progress across their service areas. We discuss budgets, income, bids that are being considered for various projects, that link to priorities. I encourage all of my Officers to seek funding opportunities where possible to deliver priorities that support the residents of Tendring. As part of my advice and direction, I also ensure that the Council's governance processes are followed."

Cllr Mary Newton, Portfolio Holder for Business & Economic Growth

"There are no published fees and charges which fall under the jurisdiction of Business and Economic Growth"

Cllr Jeff Bray, Portfolio Holder for Planning "Awaited"